

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,672	07/02/2004	Maria A. Bednarek	20954P	3103
210 MERCK AND	7590 05/11/2007 CO INC		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2000	P O BOX 2000		KAM, CHIH MIN	
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/500,672	BEDNAREK, MARIA A.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
Chih-Min Kam		1656		

	Omin-Min Ram	1000						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1							
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing da							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by	0001100					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			46 - 1					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	tne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>33-35,37 and 39</u> .		•						
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>33-33,37 and 35</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-32,36,38,40 and 41</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
<del></del>								
	•							

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 29-33, 35-37 and 40-41, under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph; the rejection of claims 33, 38 and 40-41, under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph; and the rejection of claims 34, 35 and 37 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22-25, 28-30, 34, 36, 37 and 42-43 of co-pending application 10/182,509 (allowed) in view of applicants' amendment to the claims, and applicants response in the amendment filed may 1, 2007.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's reply does not overcome the rejection of claims 29-32, 36, 38 and 40-41 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 22-25, 28-30, 34, 36, 37 and 42-43 of co-pending application 10/182,509 (allowed).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Bragdon can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. Primary Patent Examiner

CMK May 10, 2007 CHIH-MIN KAM PRIMARY EXAMINER